NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS



FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

OCT 03 2007

CATHY A. CATTERSON, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

ROBERT T. TORRESDAL,) No. 05-35753
Plaintiff-Appellant,) D.C. No. CV-04-00954-BR
v.) MEMORANDUM*
MICHAEL J. ASTRUE, Commissioner of Social Security Administration,)))
Defendant-Appellee.)))

Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of Oregon Anna J. Brown, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted September 24, 2007**
Portland, Oregon

Before: FERNANDEZ, SILVERMAN, and GRABER, Circuit Judges.

Robert Torresdal appeals the district court's determination that although, as the Commissioner of the Social Security Administration conceded, his case had to

^{*}This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

^{**}The panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

be remanded, the remand would be for further proceedings rather than with a direction to pay disability benefits. We affirm.

Given the record in this case, including, but not limited to, the evidence of Torresdal's drug abuse problem,¹ we cannot say that the district court abused its discretion² when it remanded for further consideration by the Commissioner rather than for an immediate payment of benefits.

AFFIRMED.

¹See 42 U.S.C. § 1382c(a)(3)(J); 20 C.F.R. § 416.935(a)-(b); Parra v. Astrue, 481 F.3d 742, 746 (9th Cir. 2007); see also Bustamante v. Massanari, 262 F.3d 949, 954–55 (9th Cir. 2001). Once the evidence of Torresdal's drug abuse surfaced, it was his burden to prove that drug abuse was not a material contributing factor to his disability, if any. See Parra, 481 F.3d at 747–48.

²Harman v. Apfel, 211 F.3d 1172, 1173, 1178 (9th Cir. 2000).